

# **Embedded Systems Week**

CASES: International Conference on Compilers, Architectures, and Synthesis for Embedded Systems

# Accelerating Large-Scale Graph Neural Network Training on Crossbar Diet

**Chukwufumnanya Ogbogu**<sup>†</sup>, Aqeeb Iqbal Arka<sup>†</sup>, Biresh Kumar Joardar<sup>\*</sup>, Janardhan Rao Doppa<sup>†</sup>, Hai (Helen) Li<sup>\*</sup>, Krishnendu Chakrabarty<sup>\*</sup>, Partha Pratim Pande<sup>†</sup> Washington State University<sup>†</sup>, Duke University<sup>\*</sup>





# Outline

- Introduction:
  - ML models: Graph Neural Networks (GNN)
  - Architectures for GNN training & Inference
- Motivation:
  - ReRAM-based Process-in-memory (PIM) Computing
- Background & Overview:
  - GNN Training
  - 3D PIM for GNNs
- Methodology & Related work:
  - Lottery Ticket Pruning (LTP)
  - Crossbar-aware Pruning (CAP)
  - DietGNN Technique.
- Results
- Conclusion



2

### Introduction

- Training machine learning (ML) models at the edge can address data privacy/security concerns.
  - Federated Learning Applications\*
- ML Models are large (Billions of params.)
- Memory bandwidth and power constraints of Edge devices. ('memory-wall')
- Solutions?
  - Model Compression methods: **Pruning**, Quantization etc.
  - Process-in-memory (PIM) computing.





3

### Introduction: Graph Neural Networks (GNN)





- Non-Euclidean structured data.
- Graph Convolution Layers
  - Learns features through neighborhood expansion





# Why not GPUs?

- GNN training is highly compute- and data-intensive.
- GPUs are not optimized for GNN training
  - High Area & Power Requirements.
  - Low performance per watt (Energy efficiency).
  - Limited Memory Bandwidth.
- Alternative Computing Paradigms?





### **Motivation: Process-in-memory Computing**







(a) Multiply-Accumulate operation

(b) Vector-Matrix Multiplier

ReRAM-based PIM
 Architectures

- ReRAM crossbars are natural multipliers
  - Energy Efficient
  - O(1) time
  - > 100 × computation speed-up





# **Background: GNN Training**

#### • Graph Data:

- *N*×*N* sparse adjacency Matrix (*A*)
- Node-level feature vectors (X)

#### • GNNs:

- Multiple Layers (L) with weights  $W^{(l)}$
- High amount of on-chip communication.
- Communication-intensive Vertex & Edge computation. (many-one)



# **GNN training on 3D PIM Architecture**



- 3D ReRAM-based PIM Architecture
  - Area Efficency
  - High Communication Bandwidth
  - Shorter interconnect
- High on-chip communication traffic can be addressed.

- GNNs are over-parameterized (millions / billions of weights)
- Model Compression techniques: Pruning





# Methodology: Lottery Ticket Pruning (LTP)

- 1. Randomly initialize weights  $(W_i)$
- 2. Train network, to arrive at  $(W_T)$
- 3. Prune p% of weights in  $W_T$
- 4. Reset remaining weights to initial values in  $W_i$ , and Repeat

#### Iterative Magnitude Pruning with Rewinding



Frankle et al., 2019 Viz: @RobertTLange





### **Related Work**



- LTP & Unified Graph Sparsification (UGS)
  - Unstructured pruning, High Sparsity
  - Low Energy & Area Savings



- Existing Crossbar-Aware Pruning (CAP)
  - Marginal reduction in Energy and Area cost.





# Methodology: DietGNN Framework



Algorithm 1. Pruning with DietGNN

Input: GNN model, crossbar structure, prune percentage p

Output: Pruned GNN model or winning ticket

Algorithm:

 1:
 Initialize:  $W^l \leftarrow W_{initial}$ ;

 2:
 Partition  $W^l$  into blocks  $(B^l)$  of size  $c \times \left(c * \frac{b}{B}\right)$  

 3:
 While itr < n:

 4:
 Train for E epochs

 5:
 Prune p% of  $B^l$  based on average magnitude

 6:
 Reinitialize remaining weights with  $W_{initial}$  

 7:
 Return Pruned Model (Hardware-friendly winning ticket)

- DietGNN achieves:
  - Significant reduction in Peripheral Circuit Area & Energy overhead
- Models can be reused multiple times



### **Experimental Setup**

- Five benchmark realworld graph datasets: PPI, Reddit, Amazon2M, Flickr, and Yelp for the performance evaluation
- Graph Convolution
   Networks (GCNs)

	4 planar tiers, 9 cores per tier, 4 tiles per core						
	ReRAM Tile		96-ADCs (8-bits), 12x128x8 DACs (1-bit), 96 crossbars, 128x128 crossbar size, 10MHz, 2-bit resolution				
GNN DATASET STATISTICS							
1	Dataset		# of lodes	# Ed	# of Edges		# of Features
	PPI	56,944		818	818,716		50
	Reddit	232,965		11,606,919		4	602
A	mazon2M	1 2,449,029		61,859,140		4	100
	Flickr	ckr 89,250		899,756		3	500
	Yelp 716,84		6,847	13,945819		3	300

ARCHITECTURAL SPECIFICATIONS





### **Results: Accuracy vs Sparsity**





- 128×128 crossbar size is the sweet spot in the sparsity-area-energy trade-off.
- Amazon2M Accuracy vs Sparsity
  - Up to 90% Sparsity with 1% accuracy drop constraint.



### **Results: Accuracy and Sparsity**



- DietGNN high sparsity like with LTP
- < 1% accuracy loss constraint



### **Results: Area and Energy**



• DietGNN achieves >95% area and Energy reduction.



### **Results: Computation & Communication Delay**

- 41.5% communication delay reduction on Average.
- 58% average improvement in computation delay







### **Results: Overall System Performance**



 DietGNN achieves 87% and 52% speed-up in overall execution time on average compared to Unpruned and CAP, respectively



### Conclusion

- ReRAM-based PIM architectures are good candidates for accelerating large-scale GNN training at the edge.
- GNN models contain many parameters and training is compute and communication intensive. The DietGNN pruning method addresses this challenge.
- DietGNN framework achieves  ${\sim}2.7{\times}$  speedup and  $3.5{\times}$  energy efficiency for GNN training.

# Thank You !



